



Deng, Zhuqing, Lloyd, Huw, Xia, Canwei, Møller, Anders, Liang, Wei and Zhang, Yanyum (2018) Components of variation in female common cuckoo calls. *Behavioural Processes*, 158. pp. 106-112. ISSN 0376-6357

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/621632/>

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Elsevier

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2018.10.007>

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>

Title: Components of variation in female common cuckoo calls

Short running title: Female cuckoo calls

Authors: Zhuqing Deng¹, Huw Lloyd², Canwei Xia^{1*}, Anders Pape Møller^{1,3}, Wei Liang⁴, Yanyun Zhang^{1*}.

1. Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Biodiversity and Ecological Engineering, College of Life Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China.

2. School of Science and Environment, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, UK.

3. Ecologie Systématique Evolution, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France

4. Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Ecology of Tropical Islands, College of Life Sciences, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China

* Corresponding author, Canwei Xia, Telephone: 0086-18901376801, ORCID: 0000-0003-1432-1019; Yanyun Zhang, Telephone: 0086-13426164940

Email: Zhuqing Deng (dengzhuqing@mail.bnu.edu.cn)

Huw Lloyd (H.Lloyd@mmu.ac.uk)

Canwei Xia (xiacanwei@126.com)

Anders Pape Møller (anders.moller@u-psud.fr, ID: 0000-0003-3739-4675)

Wei Liang (liangwei@hainnu.edu.cn, ID: 0000-0002-0004-9707)

Yanyun Zhang (zhangyy@bnu.edu.cn)

Authors' contributions:

YZ, WL designed the experiments; ZD participated in the field work; ZD, CX carried out the analyses; CX drafted the earlier version of the manuscript and HL, AM, ZD revised it. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Availability of data and materials: All recordings and data in this study are available from the corresponding author (xiacanwei@126.com) on reasonable request.

Declarations of interest: none

Ethical standards: Our research protocol was approved by the Animal Management

29 Committee at the College of Life Sciences, Beijing Normal University under license
30 number CLS-EAW-2016-017. Bird capture and banding were permitted by the
31 National Bird-banding Center of China under license number H20110042. The
32 experiments comply with the current laws of the country in which they were
33 performed.

34

Components of variation in female common cuckoo calls

Highlights

- Larger intra-individual variation in female than in male cuckoo calls
- Peak frequency of female cuckoo call was significantly negative related to latitude
- Cuckoos were more vocally active in sunny weather than rainy weather
- The peak in vocal activity (both male and female cuckoos) was in the morning

Abstract: Investigations on bird vocalizations have largely focused on males. Female vocalizations are widespread in birds but few studies have investigated female vocal characteristics, particularly in non-Passeriformes. In this study, we use new field recordings from China, and calls available from an online sound library to examine temporal patterns, call consistency and geographical variation in vocalizations of female common cuckoos *Cuculus canorous*. The peak in vocal activity (both male and female) was in the morning, which contrasts to what would be predicted if the sole function of the female call was to distract the attention of hosts after parasitizing a host's nest in the afternoon. Both male and female common cuckoos were more vocally active in sunny weather, than rainy weather. We also found larger intra-individual variation in female rather than in male calls, which may benefit female cuckoos by increasing stimulation to host species. Peak frequency of female calls decreased with increased latitude, while differences in female call features were not associated with geographic distance. In summary, our findings that female calls are used in the morning, rather than at peak egg laying, yet are highly variable and show little geographic patterns suggest that the function of these female calls may be more variable and intricate than previously thought. However, because research on female vocalizations is underrepresented, future studies are still needed.

Key words: acoustic signals; call consistency; common cuckoo; female vocalization;

geographical variation; vocal activity.

1. Introduction

Studies of bird vocalizations have been critical in shaping our understanding of the relationship between avian signal evolution and species differentiation (Andersson, 1994). Historically, most attention on variation in avian acoustic signals has been directed towards males (Beecher and Brenowitz, 2005), with many bird populations showing regional differences in male vocal characteristics over local and/or wider geographical scales (Irwin, 2000; Boughman, 2002; Kaluthota et al., 2016). However, female vocalizations are also widespread in both temperate and tropical species (Garamszegi et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2011; Odom et al., 2014; Mahr et al., 2016). Whilst females of some species use their acoustic signals to defend territories, coordinate breeding activities and attract mates (Langmore, 1998). Mate attraction across bird species does not appear to be the primary function of female vocalizations (Dabelsteen et al., 1998; Cain and Langmore, 2016; Krieg and Getty, 2016). Female vocalisations vary in their complexity (Langmore, 1998; Cain and Langmore, 2016) but few studies have examined whether female vocal characteristics vary geographically, particularly for non-Passeriformes (Odom and Benedict, 2018). Given the prevalence of female vocalizations, more research should be conducted to build a comprehensive understanding of the function and evolution of bird vocalizations.

Male common cuckoos *Cuculus canorous* utter loud simple and stereotypic “cuck-ooo” calls during the breeding season. Temporal and frequency variables among different male cuckoo calls are sufficient to provide individual information (Jung et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017; Zsebok et al., 2017), which can be used to distinguish between neighbors and strangers (Moskat et al., 2017). Furthermore, there appears to be a high degree of consistency in the number of syllables in each bout produced by individual males (Møller et al., 2016a, b). However, males of different subspecies differ significantly in their calls (Wei et al., 2015), even within the same

subspecies, male calls from populations in the same habitat are more similar to each other than those from populations in different habitats (Fuisz and de Kort, 2007). In comparison, our knowledge of the characteristics and function of female cuckoo calls have, until recently, been largely overlooked. Female cuckoos often give a conspicuous 'chuckle' call (Payne, 2005). One recent experimental playback study suggests that the chuckle call primarily serves as a distraction of the host parent species (e.g. reed warbler *Acrocephalus scirpaceus*) since female chuckle calls had the same effect on the attention of host and non-host species, as playback of the calls of sparrowhawk *Accipiter nisus* (York and Davies, 2017). In contrast, male common cuckoo calls had no such effect. Such a function would enable female cuckoos to benefit from reducing their egg rejection rate through distraction of the attention of hosts (York and Davies, 2017). Other aspects of female cuckoo calls remain unquantified in the peer-review literature (Liang, 2017; Kim et al., 2017).

In this study, we use new recordings and those deposited in the online avian acoustic sound library Xeno-Canto, to examine temporal patterns, individual consistency, and geographical variation in the chuckle calls of female common cuckoos. Unlike most birds, which lay egg in the morning, female cuckoos predominantly lay their eggs in the afternoon (Payne, 2005), and the function of female cuckoo calls is to distract the host species after laying (York and Davies, 2017). Therefore, we predicted that peak female cuckoo calls should occur during the afternoon. Since sound signals are more easily distorted in bad weather (e.g. rain or strong winds) than good weather (e.g. sunny) (Lengagne and Slater, 2002), we predicted that cuckoo calls would be more frequent on days with good weather. Theoretically, elaborate signals could increase stimulation of sensory perception (Akre and Johnsen, 2014; Cui et al., 2016), which may reduce habituation in the distraction of the attention cuckoo hosts. Consequently, we predicted that female calls should be more variable than male calls. Besides the potential difference in call characteristics among different subspecies and populations in different habitats, there

may also be differences in female call characteristics among different host races within the same population. As female cuckoo calls functionally mimic hawk calls (York and Davies, 2017), different populations may exhibit different call characteristics due to different geographic variation in hawk calls and/or hawk species with different calls occurring within the resident bird community. Thus, our final objective was to determine whether female chuckle calls exhibit geographic variation, but because this could be more complex than those for males, we made no specific prediction about geographic variation in female cuckoo calls.

2. Methods

2.1 Study area and sound recording

Fieldwork was conducted during June 8th to July 28th 2017 in the Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve (41.034°N; 121.725°E), Liaoning Province, Northeast China. This region represents one of the most important estuarine wetlands in China, with the largest area of reed-bed habitat along the coastal region of China, and consequently, extensive nesting habitats for Oriental reed warbler *Acrocephalus orientalis*. Here, the common cuckoo is a summer breeding species, and it predominantly parasitizes Oriental reed warbler nests (Li et al., 2016). Density of cuckoos is high in our study population (Li et al. 2016), where several individuals often occur in close proximity (less than 10 m) to each other but data on territory size is lacking. We used a TASCAM DR-100MKIII recorder (Tascam Co., Japan) and a Sennheiser MKH416 P48 external directional microphone (Sennheiser Co., Germany), with a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz and a sampling accuracy of 16 bits, to record cuckoo vocalizations. Further recordings were made using seven passive acoustic recorder SM4 Songmeters (Wildlife Acoustics Inc. USA) placed at seven different locations, separated by a minimal distance of 200 m, to continuously record cuckoo calls from June 8th to July 28th. The minimal distance between these recorders is larger than the effective recording range (100 m for cuckoos call, seen in Huang et al., 2017), in order to avoid the same call being

recorded by two recorders. Recorders were attached to telegraph poles at a height of 3 m above ground, and set to record continuously at a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz, and a sampling accuracy of 16 bits. Recorders were checked every 10 days to replace the batteries and memory cards. Using mist nets, we also trapped and banded 20 individual adult common cuckoos (6 females and 14 males) around our recording sites, whilst daily observations also revealed many other unbanded individual cuckoos at our recording sites during data collection.

To examine geographic variation in female cuckoo song, we downloaded all recordings of female common cuckoo from the online sound library Xeno-Canto (<http://www.xeno-canto.org>). For multiple recordings collected on the same day at the same location such that individuals could not be identified, we randomly selected one recording for analysis to avoid pseudo-replication. We also used four recordings that we collected from Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve, and four recordings collected from Beijing Wild Duck Lake National Wetland Park (40.410°N; 115.829°E), which is situated approximately 500 km from the Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve. These eight recordings were made in June 2017 with the same equipment mentioned before.

2.2 Acoustic measurements

All recordings were re-sampling with 8 kHz, and saved as .wav files. We used Avisoft-SASLab Pro 5.2 audio analysis software (Avisoft Bioacoustics, Germany) to generate spectrograms with the following settings: Fast Fourier transform length 256 points; Hamming window with a frame size of 100% and an overlap of 50%; frequency resolution 31 Hz; and time resolution of 16 ms. Here the phrase ‘number of calls’ refers to number of syllables in males, and the number of calling bouts in females. Male cuckoo calls consist of a repeated series of ‘*cu-coo*’ syllables (Møller et al., 2016a; Li et al., 2017) i.e. both ‘cu’ and ‘coo’ elements constitute a single syllable with several successive syllables constituting a bout. The pause between successive male calling bouts was always longer than 2 s in our recordings, which is obviously

greater than the time interval between successive ‘*cu-coo*’ syllables within one calling bout (Fig. 1a). Female cuckoo calls consist of a series of rapidly repeated “*kwik-kwik-kwik*” notes (York and Davies, 2017), which we named a bout (Fig. 1b). Each “*kwik*” represented a syllable, corresponding to the terminology used for male calls. For each bout (both male and female), we measured maximum frequency, minimum frequency, peak frequency, duration, and the number of syllables. Peak frequency refers to the frequency associated with the maximum energy. Setting a standard to measure minimum frequency was problematic because energy decreases gradually towards low frequency in female cuckoo calls. Consequently, we did not include minimum frequency in the subsequent analyses.

To describe the temporal patterns of male and female cuckoo vocal activity, we used Kaleidoscope Pro Software (Wildlife Acoustics Inc. USA) to create recognizers for finding all male and female calls from recordings collected with the SM4 Song meters. Firstly, we entered the following acoustic features of our target sound, either a male syllable or female bout, to Kaleidoscope Pro Software: frequency range from 400 to 1200 Hz for a male syllable, and 600 to 2900 Hz for a female calling bout; duration ranges from 0.3 to 0.5 s for a male syllable, and 1.6 to 4 s for a female calling bout. These acoustic features are slightly larger than actual parameters of male and female cuckoo calls, but this was done to increase the detectability of these calls by the Kaleidoscope Pro Software. Lastly, we manually checked all calls identified by the recognizer based on visual inspection of the spectrograms. In total, we obtained 701,661 male syllables, and 2407 female bouts after manual check.

2.3 Temporal patterns of vocal activity

We used both our field recordings and recordings downloaded from Xeno-Canto to examine temporal patterns of vocal behavior by male and female cuckoos. Using our field recordings from June 8th to July 24th we first calculated the number of calls per hour using absolute time since we lacked data on twilight time. Our prediction was

that female cuckoos had a peak call output in the afternoon, based on the primary function of female calls being the distraction of hosts during egg laying by cuckoos. Thus, we assumed that the use of absolute time, rather than twilight time, would have little or no effect on tests of our prediction. We used a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to estimate the repeatability of number of calls per hour, based on the function *rpt* in the R package *rptR* (Stoffel et al., 2017). After confirming that most variation occurred among hours, rather than during the same hour on different days (repeatability of number of calls per hour in male 0.763 ± 0.056 mean \pm SE; 95% confidence interval ranged from 0.623 to 0.828; $P < 0.001$; in female = 0.872 ± 0.087 mean \pm SE; 95% confidence interval ranged from 0.609 to 0.910; $P < 0.001$), we pooled the data by calculating the mean number of calls per hour, and we used these data to illustrate temporal patterns of cuckoo vocal activity.

For a second data source, we used the time of cuckoo calls from the recordings downloaded from Xeno-Canto, again using absolute rather than twilight time for the analysis. From this second source, we obtained the time of 359 recordings of male calls, and 36 recordings of female calls and calculated the number of recordings for every two-hour period. Pearson correlation coefficient based on the number of calls (or recordings) in each hour from the Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve recordings and those from Xeno-Canto were used to determine patterns of similarity in vocal activity from the two separate data sources. Finally, we related patterns of vocal activity from the first data source to localized weather conditions. We defined weather condition based on the information from Weather China (www.weather.com.cn), and classified a day as a bad i.e. days with rain or strong winds (with wind speed greater than 8m/s) or good i.e. days without rain and strong winds.

2.4 Individual call consistency

We used a TASCAM DR-100MKIII recorder and a Sennheiser MKH416 P48 external directional microphone to obtain recordings from 18 males in the Liaohe Delta Nature

Reserve during June and July 2017; six of these males were color-banded from our mist-netting efforts, whilst further recordings were made from unbanded males from locations separated by at least 2 km from each other, in an effort to reduce the likelihood of repeatedly sampling the same individual twice. Since female calls were much rarer than male calls in our study area (Fig. 2), we supplemented the dataset with recordings made using the passive acoustic recorder SM4 Songmeters. Since we could not be certain which individual calls belonged to the same individual in passive acoustic recordings, we defined two bouts recorded by the same recorders within 1 min and with similar amplitude as being from the same individual because we found that it is rare to hear different female calls at the same time in adjacent sites. Using this definition, we obtained 43 recordings, each containing two bouts, from 6 songmeters. These recordings were recorded in different locations, or at the same location on different days. Since common cuckoos are abundant in our study area (Li et al., 2017) we treated these 43 recordings as being derived from 43 different female individuals. To avoid pseudo-replication, we used a smaller data set only including six recordings (corresponding to six individual females) recorded from different locations, randomly selected from the original 43 recordings. We calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient from measurements on two successive bouts, and used this to reflect individual call consistency. We compared the correlation coefficients between males and females in the larger data set ($n = 43$), using the Fisher z -transformation to assess the significance of the difference between two correlation coefficients. We did not calculate the significance of the difference between correlation coefficients between males and females in the smaller data set because of the small sample size in the smaller data set ($n = 6$).

2.5 Geographical variation

We measured 35 female recordings from Xeno-Canto, and supplemented this dataset with four recordings from Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve, and four recordings from

Wild Duck Lake National Wetland Park. Although different equipment was used to collect recordings by Xeno-Canto recorders, we assume that any effects of equipment on recordings should only cause noise in the data set, and there is no reason to expect bias in such effects. We restricted our analyses to one bout in each recording, as most recordings downloaded from Xeno-Canto only contained one bout. Since acoustic measures vary by different orders of magnitude e.g. the frequency of cuckoo syllables ranges in the hundreds of Hz, while the duration of syllables lasts nearly a tenth of a second, acoustic measures were transformed into z-scores, and then used to calculate the Euclidean distance of all pairs of bouts. We used Mantel tests to assess the correlation between Euclidean distance and geographic distance and Pearson correlation to compare the acoustic measures with latitude, as acoustic characteristics are known to change with latitude in many bird species (Kaluthota et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2017). All statistical analyses were performed using R software, v. 3.4.1 (R Core Development Team, 2017). Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Differences with P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1 Temporal variation in common cuckoo calls

At the Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve, we found that the number of cuckoo calls per day fluctuated widely, with a sharp decline after July 25th (Fig. 2). The number of male and female cuckoo calls per day was significantly positively correlated (Pearson correlation, $r = 0.075$, $P < 0.001$, $n=47$) and both male and female common cuckoos showed higher vocal activity during good weather (Fig. 3). Males on average uttered $17,192 \pm 1,241$ (mean \pm SD) syllables summarized from data collected by seven recorders per day in good weather ($n = 25$ days), significantly more than the $11,867 \pm 899$ syllables per day during bad weather ($n = 22$ days; independent samples t test, $t_{45} = 3.392$, $P = 0.001$). Females uttered 61 ± 6 bouts summarized from data collected by seven recorders per day in good weather ($n = 25$ days), significantly larger than 40 ± 7

bouts per day during bad weather ($n = 22$ days; independent samples t test, $t_{45} = 2.406$, $P = 0.02$). Data from both the Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve (Fig. 4a) and Xeno-Canto (Fig. 4b) revealed that peak call output occurred during the morning rather than in the afternoon, with noticeably little female call activity in the afternoon at the Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve. Number of calls per hour from Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve and Xeno-Canto were strongly positively correlated in both males ($r = 0.769$, $P < 0.001$) and females ($r = 0.655$, $P = 0.001$).

3.2 Individual consistency

Males ($n = 18$) generally showed higher individual call consistency than females ($n = 43$) (Fig. 5). Pearson correlation coefficients of maximum frequency (male: $r = 0.926$; female: $r = 0.618$), duration (male: $r = 0.488$; female: $r = 0.067$), and number of syllables (male: $r = 0.609$; female: $r = 0.087$) were significantly larger for males than for females (Z test, $Z = 3, 1.98, 2.05$; $P = 0.003, 0.048, 0.040$, respectively). Pearson correlation coefficients of peak frequency were larger in females ($r = 0.523$) than in males ($r = 0.179$), but the difference was not significant (Z test, $Z = 1.32$, $P = 0.187$). Pearson's correlation coefficients for females in the smaller data set (6 recordings made from different locations) showed a similar trend as Pearson's correlation coefficients for females in the larger data set (43 recordings made from different locations, or at the same location on different days): If Pearson correlation coefficients for females in the larger data set was larger (or lower) than Pearson correlation coefficients for males, Pearson's correlation coefficients for females in the smaller data set was also larger (or lower) than in males for each variable (Fig. 5).

3.3 Geographical variation

We found in female calls a maximum frequency of bouts of $2,228 \pm 40$ Hz; peak frequency of bouts of $1,905 \pm 47$ Hz; duration of bouts of 1.979 ± 0.056 s, with 19.53 ± 0.78 syllables. There was no significant linear trend of differences in female calls

and geographic distance (Mantel test, $P = 0.256$) (Fig. 6a). Peak frequency decreased with increased latitude (Pearson's correlation coefficients, $r = -0.364$, $P = 0.016$, $n = 43$) (Fig. 6b), while maximum frequency ($r = -0.166$, $P = 0.289$), duration ($r = -0.031$, $P = 0.842$), and number of syllables ($r = -0.094$, $P = 0.547$) showed no significantly relationship with latitude.

4. Discussion

4.1 Temporal patterns of female vocal activity

Based on the field recordings from Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve and others deposited on the online sound library Xeno-Canto, we found that vocal activity of female cuckoos peaked in morning rather than in the afternoon. We admit that the call recordings in Xeno-Canto are influenced by human activities (Blackburn et al., 2014) e.g. observers are more likely to spend more time recording birds in good weather and in the morning, which may lead to bias in the interpretation of daily vocal behavior patterns. In addition, to avoid potential bias due to differences in time across the different time zones represented in the Xeno-Canto recordings, we used the regional local time rather than twilight time as this would have little or no effect on tests of our prediction of peak call output in the afternoon. We used automatic recognizers generated from our field recordings to automatically identify potential cuckoo calls, all of which were then subsequently checked manually by visual inspection of the spectrograms. Previous research on Large Hawk Cuckoo (*Hierococcyx sparveroides*) found that only about 50 % of all cuckoos calls were correctly identified by automatic recognizers from 96 hours of recordings (Huang et al., 2017). Most of the common cuckoo calls that were not detected by the automatic recognizers in this study occurred during the dawn chorus, and were largely masked by the dawn songs of oscine passerines. Thus, female cuckoos may have higher peak of call output in the morning than we observed.

The peak of female call output in the morning is in contrast to what would be

predicted if the only function of the female cuckoo call was to distract the attention of hosts after parasitizing a host's nest i.e. peak in call activity should occur during the afternoon (see York and Davies, 2017). Perhaps, female calls may be used to find nests of hosts in the morning. As most birds in the morning lay eggs or sit on eggs, female cuckoos produce calls which cause that host leave the nest, and then locate nests of hosts. It is also likely that female common cuckoo calls at our study site have other functions besides distraction hosts (Liang, 2017). For example, females calling in the morning may attract the attention of males (e.g. Langmore, 1998). Alternatively, it may be the case that potential host species at Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve are able to distinguish between female common cuckoo calls from hawk calls, or even use female calls as a predictor of risk of parasitism or increased probability of egg rejection, although further experimental playback research is needed.

4.2 Individual call consistency

Individual consistency as measured by repeatability is important in social behavior, but also as an upper limit for heritability and hence micro-evolution (Bell et al. 2009; Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2010). Male common cuckoo call features are consistent within individuals (Jung et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017; Zsebok et al., 2017), which can facilitate neighbor - stranger discrimination in birds (Moskat et al., 2017). For females, York and Davies (2017) reported that the primary function of calls is to distract the attention of host species. Elaborate signals could increase stimulation of sensory perception (Akre and Johnsen, 2014; Cui et al., 2016), which may reduce habituation of distraction of the attention of hosts. In agreement with this prediction, females generally show lower individual consistency in call characteristics than males: specifically, Pearson's correlation coefficients of maximum frequency, duration, and number of syllables in females are significantly lower than those of males. These results were consistent across both the larger (43 recordings recorded in

different locations, or at the same location on different days) and the smaller (6 recordings recorded in different locations) data sets thus we believe that our sample sizes were sufficient to evaluate both within- and between-individual differences. Pearson's correlation coefficients of peak frequency in female calls was larger than in males, although the difference was not significant. For many bird species, peak frequency is determined by body size (Fletcher, 2004; Rodriguez et al., 2015) and is consistently higher in male cuckoo calls (Jung et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017; Zsebok et al., 2017). This acoustic feature could potentially be used for monitoring female cuckoos.

4.3 Geographical variation in female cuckoo calls

Geographic variation in bird vocalizations is common, and may affect mate choice, pair bonding, and territory defense in both passerine species such as stonechat *Saxicola torquata* (Mortega et al., 2014) and coal tit *Periparus ater* (Pentzold et al. 2016), and also non-passerine species such as gentoo penguin *Pygoscelis papua* (Lynch and Lynch, 2017) and corncrake *Crex crex* (Budka and Osiejuk, 2017). One of the more common patterns in bird acoustic geographic variation can be described in terms of the 'isolation by distance' model (Podos and Warren, 2007), in which vocalization differences in paired populations increase with the distance between those populations (Irwin, 2000; Xing et al., 2013). This pattern can be caused by both cultural drift and genetic differences, as there are few chances for culture and genetic exchange among remote populations (Irwin et al., 2008; Stewart and MacDougall-Shackleton, 2008; Ramsay and Otter, 2015). In accordance with this model, differences in calls and geographic distance are known to be closely correlated in male common cuckoos (Wei et al., 2015). However, in our study we found no evidence of isolation by distance, and we found no significant linear trend in differences in female cuckoo calls with geographic distance. For female cuckoos, there may be differences in call characteristics among different races within the same

population, besides potential differences in call characteristics among different subspecies and populations in different habitats. If the purpose of female cuckoo calls is to functionally mimic hawk calls (York and Davies, 2017), different populations may have different call features due to different hawk species with different calls occurring in the local bird community. These factors could lead to complexity in geographic variation of female cuckoo calls, and mask any linear trend between differences in calls and geographic distance as predicted in the isolation by distance model.

Peak frequency is thought to correlate negatively with body size (Fletcher, 2004; Rodriguez et al., 2015) but it is not unusual to find evidence to the contrary to this rule in some oscines (e.g. rufous-collared sparrow *Zonotrichia capensis* Handford and Loughheed 1991; dark-eyed junco *Junco hyemalis* and serin *Serinus serinus* Cardoso et al. 2008), due to song learning and sexual selection (Patel et al., 2010; Cardoso, 2012). However, this rule is generally supported in suboscines and non-passerines, particularly for species with large body size and low acoustic frequency in vocalizations such as doves (Tubaro and Mahler, 1998), tinamous (Bertelli and Tubaro, 2002), and antbirds (Seddon, 2005). In this study, we found that peak frequency of female cuckoo calls decreased at higher latitude. In cuckoos, both male and female body size is known to increase at higher latitudes (Payne, 2005; Erritzøe et al., 2012), and this may lead to the negative relationship between peak frequency and latitude.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated three aspects (temporal patterns, call consistency, geographical variation) concerning female common cuckoo calls. York and Davies (2017) suggested that female common cuckoos mimic sparrowhawk vocalizations in order to distract the host species after laying. In accordance with this prediction, we found larger intra-individual variation in female calls, which may increase stimulation

of the host species. Besides, we found peak frequency of female calls decreased with increased latitude, while differences in female call features were not associated with geographic distance. However, the daily vocal pattern contrasts with what would be predicted according to York and Davies (2017). If the only function of the female common cuckoo call was to distract the attention of hosts after parasitizing a host's nest, peak call output should occur during the afternoon because female common cuckoos predominantly lay their eggs in the afternoon (Payne, 2005). Data from both the Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve and Xeno-Canto revealed that peak call output occurred during the morning rather than in the afternoon. Based on this result, we infer that female common cuckoo calls have other functions besides distraction hosts.

Acknowledgements

We thank Xiaomeng Zhao, Boning Xue, Qi Wang, Qi Luo, Qingbin Wang, Shuang Guan, Ziqiang Huang, Linyu Jin and Juan Chen for assistance in the field work.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Key Technology R & D Program of China (No. 2016YFC0503200), State Forestry Administration of China (No. KJHX2016135) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. J1210075 and No. 31872243 to YZ, No. 31601868 to CX, and 31472453 to WL).

References

- Akre KL, Johnsen S, 2014. Psychophysics and the evolution of behavior. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **29**: 291-300.
- Andersson M, 1994. *Sexual selection*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Beecher MD, Brenowitz EA, 2005. Functional aspects of song learning in songbirds. *Trends Ecol Evol* **20**: 143-149.
- Bell AM, Hankison SJ, Laskowski KL, 2009. The repeatability of behaviour: a meta-analysis. *Anim Behav* **77**: 771-783.
- Bertelli S, Tubaro PL, 2002. Body mass and habitat correlates of song structure in a primitive group of birds. *Biol J Linn Soc* **77**: 423-430.

458 Blackburn TM, Su S, Cassey P, 2014. A Potential metric of the attractiveness of bird
 459 song to humans. *Ethology* **120**: 305-312.
 460 Boughman JW, 2002. How sensory drive can promote speciation. *Trends Ecol Evol*
 461 **17**: 571-577.
 462 Budka M, Osiejuk TS, 2017. Microgeographic call variation in a non-learning
 463 species, the Corncrake (*Crex crex*). *J Ornithol* **158**: 651-658.
 464 Cain KE, Langmore NE, 2016. Female song and aggression show contrasting
 465 relationships to reproductive success when habitat quality differs. *Behav Ecol*
 466 *Sociobiol* **70**: 1867 – 1877.
 467 Cardoso GC, 2012. Paradoxical calls: the opposite signaling role of sound frequency
 468 across bird species. *Behav Ecol* **23**: 237-241.
 469 Cardoso GC, Mamede AT, Atwell JW, Mota PG, Ketterson ED et al., 2008. Song
 470 frequency does not reflect differences in body size among males in two oscine
 471 species. *Ethology* **114**: 1084-1093.
 472 Cui J, Song X, Zhu B, Fang G, Tang Y et al., 2016. Receiver discriminability drives
 473 the evolution of complex sexual signals by sexual selection. *Evolution* **70**:
 474 922-927.
 475 Dabelsteen T, McGregor PK, Lampe H, Langmore N, Holland J, 1998. Quiet song in
 476 song birds: an overlooked phenomenon. *Bioacoustics* **9**: 89-105.
 477 Erritzøe J, Mann CF, Brammer FP, Fuller RA, 2012. *Cuckoos of the World*. London:
 478 Christopher Helm.
 479 Fletcher NH, 2004. A simple frequency-scaling rule for animal communication. *J*
 480 *Acoust Soc Am* **115**: 2334-2338.
 481 Fuisz TI, de Kort SR, 2007. Habitat-dependent call divergence in the common
 482 cuckoo: is it a potential signal for assortative mating? *Proc R Soc Lond B* **274**:
 483 2093-2097.
 484 Garamszegi LZ, Pavlova DZ, Eens M, Møller AP, 2007. The evolution of song in
 485 female birds in Europe. *Behav Ecol* **18**: 86-96.
 486 Handford P, Loughheed SC, 1991. Variation in duration and frequency characters in the
 487 song of the rufous-collared sparrow, *Zonotrichia capensis*, with respect to
 488 habitat, trill dialects and body size. *Condor* **93**: 644-658.
 489 Huang W, Xu S, Liang W, Xia C, 2017. Daily vocal pattern of large hawk cuckoo
 490 (*Hierococcyx sparveroides*). *Chinese J Zool* **52**: 945-953.
 491 Irwin D, 2000. Song variation in an avian ring species. *Evolution* **54**: 998-1010.
 492 Irwin DE, Thimman MP, Irwin JH, 2008. Call divergence is correlated with
 493 geographic and genetic distance in greenish warblers (*Phylloscopus*
 494 *trochiloides*): a strong role for stochasticity in signal evolution? *J Evolution*
 495 *Biol* **21**: 435-448.
 496 Jung WJ, Lee JW, Yoo JC, 2014. "cu-coo": Can you recognize my stepparents? A
 497 study of host-specific male call divergence in the common cuckoo. *PLoS ONE*
 498 **9**: e90468.
 499 Kaluthota C, Brinkman BE, Dos Santos EB, Rendall D, 2016. Transcontinental

500 latitudinal variation in song performance and complexity in house wrens
 501 (*Troglodytes aedon*). *Proc R Soc Lond B* **283**: 20152765.
 502 Kim H, Lee JW, Yoo JC, 2017. Comparing vocal structures of the parasitic and
 503 nonparasitic groups in Cuculinae. *Avian Res* **8**: 27.
 504 Krieg CA, Getty T, 2016. Not just for males: females use song against male and
 505 female rivals in a temperate zone songbird. *Anim Behav* **113**: 39-47.
 506 Langmore NE, 1998. Functions of duet and solo songs of female birds. *Trends Ecol*
 507 *Evol* **13**: 136-140.
 508 Lengagne T, Slater PJB, 2002. The effects of rain on acoustic communication: tawny
 509 owls have good reason for calling less in wet weather. *Proc R Soc Lond B* **269**:
 510 2121-2125.
 511 Li D, Ruan Y, Wang Y, Chang AK, Wan D et al., 2016 Egg-spot matching in common
 512 cuckoo parasitism of the oriental reed warbler: effects of host nest availability
 513 and egg rejection. *Avian Res* **7**: 199-209
 514 Li Y, Xia C, Lloyd H, Li D, Zhang Y, 2017 Identification of vocal individuality in
 515 male cuckoos using different analytical techniques. *Avian Res* **8**: 21
 516 Liang W, 2017. Crafty cuckoo calls. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**: 1427-1428.
 517 Lynch MA, Lynch HJ, 2017. Variation in the ecstatic display call of the gentoo
 518 penguin (*Pygoscelis papua*) across regional geographic scales. *Auk* **134**: 894-
 519 902.
 520 Møller AP, Morelli F, Mousseau TA, Tryjanowski P, 2016a. The number of syllables
 521 in Chernobyl cuckoo calls reliably indicate habitat, soil and radiation levels.
 522 *Ecol Indic* **66**: 592-597.
 523 Møller AP, Morelli F, Tryjanowski P, 2016b. Cuckoo folklore and human well-being:
 524 cuckoo calls predict how long farmers live. *Ecol Indic* **72**: 766-768.
 525 Mahr K, Seifert CL, Hoi H, 2016. Female and male blue tits (*Cyanistes caeruleus*)
 526 sing in response to experimental predator exposition. *J Ornithol* **157**: 907-911.
 527 Mortega KG, Flinks H, Helm B, 2014. Behavioural response of a migratory songbird
 528 to geographic variation in song and morphology. *Front Zool* **11**: 85.
 529 Moskat C, Elek Z, Ban M, Geltsch N, Hauber ME, 2017. Can common cuckoos
 530 discriminate between neighbours and strangers by their calls? *Anim Behav*
 531 **126**: 253-260.
 532 Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H, 2010. Repeatability for Gaussian and non-Gaussian data:
 533 a practical guide for biologists. *Biol Rev* **85**: 935-956.
 534 Odom KJ, Benedict L, 2018. A call to document female bird songs: applications for
 535 diverse fields. *Auk* **135**: 314-325.
 536 Odom KJ, Hall ML, Riebel K, Omland KE, Langmore NE, 2014. Female song is
 537 widespread and ancestral in songbirds. *Nat Commun* **5**: 3379-3379.
 538 Patel R, Mulder RA, Cardoso GC, 2010. What makes vocalisation frequency an
 539 unreliable signal of body size in birds? A study on black swans. *Ethology* **116**:
 540 554-563.
 541 Payne RB, 2005. *The Cuckoos*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Pentzold S, Foerschler MI, Tietze DT, Randler C, Martens J et al., 2016. Geographic variation in coal tit song across continents and reduced species recognition between central European and Mediterranean populations. *Vertebr Zool* **66**: 191-199.
- Podos J, Warren PS, 2007. The evolution of geographic variation in birdsong. *Adv Study Behav* **37**: 403-458.
- Ramsay SM, Otter KA, 2015. Geographic variation in white-throated sparrow song may arise through cultural drift. *J Ornithol* **156**: 763-773.
- Rodriguez RL, Araya-Salas M, Gray DA, Reichert MS, Symes LB et al., 2015. How acoustic signals scale with individual body size: common trends across diverse taxa. *Behav Ecol* **26**: 168-177.
- Seddon N, 2005. Ecological adaptation and species recognition drives vocal evolution in neotropical suboscine birds. *Evolution* **59**: 200-215.
- Stewart KA, MacDougall-Shackleton EA, 2008. Local song elements indicate local genotypes and predict physiological condition in song sparrows *Melospiza melodia*. *Biol Lett* **4**: 240-242.
- Stoffel MA, Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H, 2017. rptR: repeatability estimation and variance decomposition by generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods Ecol Evol* **8**: 1639-1644.
- Tubaro PL, Mahler B, 1998. Acoustic frequencies and body mass in new world doves. *Condor* **100**: 54-61.
- Wei C, Jia C, Dong L, Wang D, Xia C et al., 2015 Geographic variation in the calls of the common cuckoo (*Cuculus canorus*): isolation by distance and divergence among subspecies. *J Ornithol* **156**: 533-542
- Wei C, Price TD, Liu J, Alström P, Zhang Y, 2017. The evolutionary origin of variation in song length and frequency in the avian family Cettiidae. *J Avian Biol.* **48**: 1295-1300.
- Xing X, Alström P, Yang X, Lei F, 2013. Recent northward range expansion promotes song evolution in a passerine bird, the light-vented bulbul. *J Evolution Biol* **26**: 867-877.
- Yang C, Zhang Y, Cai Y, Stokke BG, Liang W, 2011. Female crowing and differential responses to simulated conspecific intrusion in male and female Hainan partridge (*Arborophila ardens*). *Zool Sci* **28**: 249-253.
- York JE, Davies NB, 2017. Female cuckoo calls misdirect host defences towards the wrong enemy. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**: 1520-1525.
- Zsebok S, Moskat C, Ban M, 2017. Individually distinctive vocalization in common cuckoos (*Cuculus canorus*). *J Ornithol* **158**: 213-222.
- Figure captions:**
- Figure 1.** Spectrogram of male (A), and female (B) common cuckoo calls, recorded in Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve (41.034°N; 121.725°E).

584

585 **Figure 2.** The number of calls by male and female common cuckoos per day during
586 the 2017 breeding season, summarized from data collected by seven recorders.

587

588 **Figure 3.** Call output (number of syllables summarized from data collected by seven
589 recorders per day \pm SE) by (A) male and (B) female common cuckoos in
590 relation to different weather conditions. Asterisk indicates significant
591 difference at $P < 0.05$ (independent samples t test).

592

593 **Figure 4.** Daily temporal pattern of common cuckoo call (A) based on recordings
594 from seven recorders in Liaohe Delta Nature Reserve; (B) 359 male
595 recordings and 36 female recordings from the online sound library Xeno-
596 Canto. Error bars are SE.

597

598 **Figure 5.** Pearson correlation coefficients from measurements of vocalization features
599 in common cuckoos in two successive bouts. Asterisk indicates significant
600 difference at $P < 0.05$ (Z test). n is the number of individuals and error bars are
601 SE.

602

603 **Figure 6.** (A) Lack of significant linear trend in female common cuckoo calls with
604 geographic distance (Mantel test, $P = 0.256$); and (B) significant negative
605 correlation between peak frequency and latitude (Pearson's correlation
606 coefficients, $r = -0.364$, $P = 0.016$, $n = 43$).











